Enterprise Email	
Agency Requesting The Projec	t:
Administration Department	
Business Unit Requesting The Pro	ject:
ASET	
Sponsor Of the Project:	
Morgan Reed	
Sponsor Title:	
State CIO	
Sponsor Phone Number:	Extension:
602.542.0224	
Sponsor Email Address:	
morgan.reed@azdoa.gov	

Has a Project Request been completed for this PIJ?

Ν

What is the operational issue or business need that the Agency is trying to solve? (i.e. ...current process is manual, which increases resource time/costs to the State/Agency, and leads to errors...)

The State of Arizona consists of more than 30 disparate email systems resulting in inefficient management and high total cost of ownership. In addition to the federated email systems, these systems are not integrated and do not allow for collaborative scheduling of calendars, directory services, and file sharing between agencies.

How will solving this issue or addressing this need benefit the State or the Agency?

Having a single integrated enterprise email solution will enable agencies to communicate, schedule, collaborate, and more seamlessly share data and information at a lower total cost of ownership.

Describe the proposed solution to this business need: The State is seeking an enterprise communications platform that will allow all agencies to share a common email, calendar, instant messaging, video conferencing, storage, and document repository. Phase 0 will consist of the Department of Administration, The Governor's Office, and all of the agencies that will be relocating to 1740 W Adams St. Additional agencies that volunteer can be onboarded in future phases. Has the existing technology environment, into which the proposed solution will be implemented, been documented? Indicate where that documentation can be found, or provide the information under separate cover before the meeting, otherwise describe below: The documentation is maintained by our SI team. Have the business requirements been gathered, along with any technology requirements that have been identified? Are you submitting this as a Pre-PIJ in order to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to evaluate options and select a solution that meets the project requirements? Will you be completing an assessment/Pilot/RFP phase, i.e., an evaluation by a vendor, third party or your agency, of the current state, needs, and desired future state, in order to determine the cost, effort, approach (RFP or otherwise) and/or feasibility of a project before submitting the full PIJ? Ν Does the project fall into one of the following categories: - hardware technology refresh/expansion, e.g., replacement/more laptops, radios, peripherals, etc.? Ν

Is the proposed procurement the result of an RFP solicitation process?	
	N
Is this project referenced in your agency's Strategic IT Plan?	
	Υ
Does your agency have a formal project methodology in place?	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Υ
Describe the high-level and a second selection of the Association (A)	1 - 1
Describe the high level make-up and roles/responsibilities of the Agency, Vendor(s), and	other
third parties below: (i.eagency will dovendor wil doother third parties will do)	
ASET - Providing the project management and coordination of the vendors and agencies	S
receiving services	
SADA Systems - Main integrator of the email/calendar/ enterprise directory product for	
agencies.	
Google - Vendor and provider of email/calendar cloud solution.	
Okta - Single Sign on and enterprise directory provider.	
Will a PM be assigned to manage the project, regardless of whether internal or vendor	
provided?	Υ
If the PM is credentialed, e.g., PMP, CPM, State certification etc., please provide certification	cation
information below:	
Certified Scrum Master	
Certified Scrum Product Owner	
Project Management Professional	
Is a project plan available that reflects the estimated start date and end date of the	
project, and the supporting milestones for the project?	
project, and the supporting innestones for the project.	Υ
Have steps needed to roll-out to all impacted parties been incorporated, e.g.	
communications, planned outages, deployment plan?	
	Υ
Will any phyciscal infrastructure improvements be required prior to the	
implementation of the proposed solution. e.g., building reconstruction, cabling, etc.?	
	N
	_
Are there any known resource availability conflicts that could impact the project?	
	N

Does your schedule have dependencies on any other projects or procurements?	N
Will the implementation involve major end user view or functionality changes?	Υ
Will the proposed solution result in a change to a public-facing application or system?	N
Is a detailed project budget reflecting all of the up-front/startup costs to implement the project available, e.g., hardware, initial software licenses, training, taxes, P&OS, etc.?	Υ
Have the ongoing support costs for sustaining the proposed solution over a 5-year lifecycle, once the project is complete, been determined, e.g., ongoing vendor hosting costs, annual maintenance and support not acquired upfront, etc.?	Υ
Have all required funding sources for the project and ongoing support costs been identified?	Υ
Will the funding for this project expire on a specific date, regardless of project timelines?	N
Will the funding allocated for this project include any contingency, in the event of cost over-runs or potential changes in scope?	N
Please indicate whether a statewide enterprise solution will be used or select the prima reason for not choosing an enterprise solution: Statewide Enterprise Solution	ary
Will the technology and all required services be acquired off existing State contract(s)?	Υ
Will any software be acquired through the current State value-added reseller contract?	Υ
Describe how the software was selected below:	
The Department of Administration completed a Cost Benefit Analysis at the direction of Governor's Office, and thoroughly vetted potential solutions as well as sought input frostakeholders before selecting the chosen provider.	

Does the project involve any technology that is new and/or unfamiliar to your agency,	1
e.g., software tool never used before, virtualized server environment?	
	Y
Does your agency have experience with the vendor (if known)?	
	N
	Ī
Does the vendor (if known) have professional experience with similar projects?	Υ
	<u> </u>
Does the project involve any coordination across multiple vendors?	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Υ
Does this project require multiple system interfaces, e.g., APIs, data exchange with	
other external application systems/agencies or other internal systems/divisions?	
	N
Have any compatibility issues been identified between the proposed solution and the	Ī
Have any compatibility issues been identified between the proposed solution and the existing environment, e.g., upgrade to server needed before new COTS solution can	
be installed?	N
Will a migration/conversion step be required, i.e., data extract, transformation and	
load?	Υ
<u> </u>	1
Is this replacing an existing solution?	Υ
Indicate below when the solution being replaced was originally acquired?	
indicate below when the solution being replaced was originally acquired:	
The proposed solution will replace ADOA, Governor's Office, and 13 email systems acro	ss the
1740 W Adams agency tenants. The acquisition dates of these vary.	
[6] 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
Describe the planned disposition of the existing technology below, e.g., surplused, retir	ed,
used as backup, used for another purpose:	
Varies by agency. If any, surplused or repurposed.	

of P&OS, disk capacity required, number of licenses, etc. for the proposed solution? The quantity of licenses needed for Phase 0 was determined by evaluating the number of time employees and shared mailboxes among the Department of Administration, Govern Office, and 1740 Agencies. Does the proposed solution and associated costs reflect any assumptions regarding projected growth, e.g., more users over time, increases in the amount of data to be	
time employees and shared mailboxes among the Department of Administration, Govern Office, and 1740 Agencies. Does the proposed solution and associated costs reflect any assumptions regarding	
projected growth leigh more users over time increases in the amount of data to be	
	Υ
Does the proposed solution and associated costs include failover and disaster recovery contingencies?	Y
Will the vendor need to configure the proposed solution for use by your agency?	Υ
Are the costs associated with that configuration included in the PIJ financials?	Υ
Will any application development or customization of the proposed solution be required for the agency to use the product in the current/planned technology environment, e.g., a COTS application that will require custom programming, an	
agency application that will be entirely custom developed?	N
Have you determined that this project is in compliance with all applicable statutes, regulations, policies, standards, and procedures, including those for network, security, platform, software/application, and/or data/information found at	
l li	Υ
Are there other high risk project issues that have not been identified as part of this PIJ?	N
<u> </u>	
Please explain all unidentified high risk project issues below:	
N/A	
Will the proposed solution be vendor-hosted?	Y
	Ť
Please select from the following vendor-hosted options: Vendor Hosted	
Venuor Hosted	

Describe the rationale for selecting the vendor-hosted option below:	
The vendor has demonstrated to ASET that they meet all security related requirements	5.
	1
Has the agency been able to confirm the long-term viability of the vendor-hosted environment?	Υ
Has the agency addressed contract termination contingencies, e.g., solution]
ownership, data ownership, application portability, migration plans upon contract/support termination?	Υ
Has a Conceptual Design / Network Diagram been provided and reviewed by ASET-SPR?	Υ
Has the spreadsheet located at https://aset.az.gov/arizona-baseline-security-controls-excel already been completed by the vendor and approved by ASET-SPR?	Y
Will the proposed solution be hosted on-premise in a state agency?	N
Will any PII, PHI, or other Protected Information as defined in the 8110 Statewide Data Classification Policy be transmitted, stored, or processed with this project?	Υ
Describe below what security infrastructure/controls are/will be put in place to safeguata:	ard this
The vendor has completed the Arizona Baseline Security Controls Documentation as war maintain secure access to their data centers.	ell as
What help could ASET offer to increase the probability of project success?	
N/A	

Summary of PIJ Financials Total of Development Cost: \$ 115,676 Total of Operational Cost: \$ 663,003 Total Costs: \$ 778,679

Project Cost - Itemized

Item	ost - Itemized Description	Category	Development (Implementation) or Operational (Ongoing)	Fiscal Year Spend	Qty or Hours	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Enter Tax Rate if Applicable (Generally 8.6% for PHX)	Тах	Total Cost
1	User Migration	Prof & Outside Services	Development	1	1100	\$40	\$44,000		\$0	\$44,000
2	Google Year 1	License & Maint Fees	Development	1	1100	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
3	Google Year 2	License & Maint Fees	Operational	2	1100	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
4	Google Year 3	License & Maint Fees	Operational	3	1100	\$105	\$115,500	8.60%	\$9,933	\$125,433
5	Google Year 4	License & Maint Fees	Operational	4	1100	\$105	\$115,500	8.60%	\$9,933	\$125,433
6	Google Year 5	License & Maint Fees	Operational	5	1100	\$105	\$115,500	8.60%	\$9,933	\$125,433
7	Okta Year 1	License & Maint Fees	Development	1	1100	\$18	\$19,800	8.60%	\$1,703	\$21,503
8	Okta Year 2 -5	License & Maint Fees	Operational	FY2-5	1100	\$18	\$19,800	8.60%	\$1,703	\$86,011
9	AODocs Year 1	License & Maint Fees	Development	1	1100	\$42	\$46,200	8.60%	\$3,973	\$50,173
10	AODocs Year 2-5	License & Maint Fees	Operational	FY2-5	1100	\$42	\$46,200	8.60%	\$3,973	\$200,693
11		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]	0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0
12		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						
13		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						
14		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						
15		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						
16		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						
17		[Select]	[Select]	[Select]						

Total Itemization of Costs:	\$778,679
Total Operational Cost	\$663,003
Total Development Cost	\$115,676

	Sı	ımmary of Funding Sources					
Fund Type	Fund Type % of Project \$ of Project (Available) \$ of Project (To Be Requested)						
Base Budget	35.16%	\$40,676.00	\$663,003.00				
APF							
Other Appropriated							
Federal							
Other Non-Appropriated	64.84%	\$75,000.00					

PIJ Development & Operational Cost Summary

PIJ Develo	pment & Operation	ai Cost Summary					
Description	Туре	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Extended Cost
Professional &	Development	\$44,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44,000
Outside Services	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hardware	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Haldware	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Software	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Software	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Communications	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Communications	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FWeb-	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Facilities	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Licensing &	Development	\$71,676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$71,676
Maintenance Fees	Operational	\$0	\$71,676	\$197,109	\$197,109	\$197,109	\$663,003
Others	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
•	Development Cost:	\$115,676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115,676
	Operational Cost:	\$0	\$71,676	\$197,109	\$197,109	\$197,109	\$663,003
	Total Cost:						\$778,679

Areas of Impact

1 App	olication Systems							
	Application Enhancements							
	Internal Use Web Application							
	Mobile Application Development							
	Arizona Enterprise Solution Platform (AESP) based Application							
	New Application Development							
	az.gov Web Portal Application							
	Other: (Please specify below)							
2 Dat	abase Systems							
	Data Warehouse/Mart							
	Database Consolidation/Migration/Extract Transform and Load Data	Э						
	Database Products and Tools:							
	Oracle							
	MySQL							
	DB2							
	MS SQL Server							
	Other: (Please specify below)							
_								
3 Sof	tware							
3 Sof	tware COTS Application Customization							
3 Sof								
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization							
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization COTS Application Acquisition							
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software							
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source							
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software							
3 Sof	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below)							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below)							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below) dware LAN/WAN Infrastructure							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below) dware LAN/WAN Infrastructure Mainframe Infrastructure							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below) dware LAN/WAN Infrastructure Mainframe Infrastructure Storage Area Network Devices							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below) dware LAN/WAN Infrastructure Mainframe Infrastructure Storage Area Network Devices Public Safety Radios, Systems							
	COTS Application Customization X COTS Application Acquisition Mainframe Systems Software Open Source PC/LAN Systems Software Virtualization Other: (Please specify below) dware LAN/WAN Infrastructure Mainframe Infrastructure Storage Area Network Devices Public Safety Radios, Systems PC Purchases, Peripherals							

5 Ho	s <u>ted</u>	Solution (Cloud Implementation)
		State Data Center
		Commercially Hosted:
		Amazon (AWS) GovCloud Century Link - I/O Data Center
		AWS (non-government) cloud
		Microsoft Azure
	Χ	Vendor Hosted
		Other: (Please explain below)
6 Se	curity	<i>1</i>
	Х	Encryption
		Security Appliances:
		Firewall
		Intrusion Detection System (IDS)
		Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)
		SecurityControls/Systems - Other: (Please specify below)
		Physical Controls (Badging Systems, Iris Scanners, Other: (Please specify below)
		Other: (Please specify below)
7 Te l	lecon	nmunications
		Network Communications Infrastructure
		Telephone Upgrade-Business-Specific
		Cabling
		Wireless Access Points
		Telephony Upgrade-EIC Solution
		Trenching
		Videoconferencing
		Other: (Please specify below)

8 Ente	erpri	rise Solutions	
		Business Intelligence System	
		E-Signatures	
		Geographic Information Systems	
		Other Imaging - Photos, Fingerprints, etc.	
		Document Management/Imaging	
		eLicensing	
		Management Systems - Financial, Grants, Asset	
		Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity	
	Χ	Other: (Please specify below) Email and Calenda	ring
9 Con	tract	ct Services/Procurement	
		Contracted Project Management	
		Contractor Support Services	
		Install/Configuration Contract Services	
		State Contract	
		Vendor provided	
		Procurement (RFP, IFB, DPR, etc.)	
		Other: (Please specify below)	

PIJ Review Checklist

Name	Email Address	Date Reviewed
Craig Brown	craig.brown@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Morgan Reed	morgan.reed@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Mike Lettman	mike.lettman@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
John McCleve	jmccleve@az.gov	08/18/17
David Tischler	david.tischler@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Tim Guerriero	tim.guerriero@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Charlotte Righetti	charlotte.righetti@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Joe Whitmer	joe.whitmer@azdoa.gov	08/18/17
Others to Review (if applicable)	:	
	Craig Brown Morgan Reed Mike Lettman John McCleve David Tischler Tim Guerriero Charlotte Righetti Joe Whitmer	Craig Brown craig.brown@azdoa.gov Morgan Reed morgan.reed@azdoa.gov Mike Lettman mike.lettman@azdoa.gov John McCleve jmccleve@az.gov David Tischler david.tischler@azdoa.gov Tim Guerriero tim.guerriero@azdoa.gov Charlotte Righetti charlotte.righetti@azdoa.gov

^{*} Required Attendee

Official ADOA-ASET Use Only

x x	Has the value of the IT project to the public and the State been identified?
-1	Does the proposed solution address the stated problem or situation?
х	Does the proposed solution address the stated problem or situation?
	Has the budget unit demonstrated competency to carry out the project successfully?
х	Have all applicable questions in the PIJ been addressed?
х	Have the Areas of Impact associated with the project been identified?
х	Is sufficient sponsorship and support by budget unit leadership evidenced in the meeting?
х	Has the compatibility of the proposed solution with other budget unit solutions been addressed?
х	Has a reasonable Project Plan been provided?
х	Has the compliance of the proposed solution with all applicable statewide standards been confirmed?
х	Have any potential risks or issues associated with the project or the proposed solution been identified and appropriately addressed to minimize unintended consequences?
х	Have the cost estimates for the project been vetted for accuracy?
х	Have the PIJ Financials been completed?
х	Have any/all of the following startup costs to implement the project been included under Development in the financial tables, if applicable - tax; shipping; upfront maintenance and support; professional services (P&OS); ancillary software to run on equipment; ancillary hardware to install equipment, e.g., cables; other associated costs, e.g., training, travel, documentation, etc.?
х	Have any/all of the following ongoing/5-year support costs, once the project is implemented, been included under Operational in the financial tables, if applicable - ongoing vendor hosting costs, including any projected increase over time; annual maintenance and support not acquired upfront; extended costs after warranty expiration; P&OS commitments beyond implementation?
х	Have you confirmed that no Full Time Employee (FTE) related costs have been included in the project costs?
х	Have quotes been provided for all itemized costs in the PIJ, e.g., professional services, hardware, software, licensing, etc.?
х	Do the quotes match the itemized list and only reflect those items and costs (within 5%) associated with this project?
]	If not, describe below how the costs in the PIJ differ from the quotes, e.g., if quantities are different, costs are comprised of portions of multiple quotes provided, etc.:

	PIJ Dispo	osition				
Approved						
Approved with conditions						
Not Approved						
Strategic Program Manager Analysis						
West Adams to begin the p Governor's Office, and the	process of building a statewide 26 Agencies moving to 1740 V	iovernor's Office and the agencie e enterprise email system. ADOS N Adams will migrate their email mmon system with a shared add	-ASET, the platforms,			
Agencies will help to start r	moving the State of Arizona to nent sharing. This will dramati	with the Governor's Office and a a common email system with a ically improve collaboration and	shared address			
performed a Total Cost of 0	Ownership study that was pre	penefits and features of several e sented to the Governor's Office f imilar solutions to other state, co	for review and inpu			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mor Budget or Funding Conside	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme	ted Project Management Office, is provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation the funding for this project is comount the ASET Operations Fund (Apple 2014)	ing from current			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and more Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help gu rations: First year developme en additional years are paid fro	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comom the ASET Operations Fund (April 2014)	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pay			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mor Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme	provided a Scope of Work and T uide a successful implementation ont funding for this project is com	ing from current			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help gu rations: First year developme en additional years are paid fro	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	imeline, so i. ing from current gencies already pa			
state certified Project Man adequate controls and mon Budget or Funding Conside budget allocations, and the ASET for email services).	ager. The selected vendor has nitoring are in place to help guarations: First year developme en additional years are paid from David Tischler	s provided a Scope of Work and Tuide a successful implementation on the funding for this project is comon the ASET Operations Fund (Approval Date:	ineline, so i. ing from currer gencies already			